



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/342,768	06/29/1999	SCOTT BERMINGHAM DOYLE	17286 7075	
7:	590 08/11/2005	EXAMINER		
THE WHITAKER CORPORATION 4550 NEW LINDEN HILL ROAD SUITE 450			NGUYEN, THUAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19808		2685	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/342,768	DOYLE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		THUAN T. NGUYEN	2685			
The MAILII Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time mare after SIX (6) MONTHS  - If the period for reply sites in the period for reply sites in the period for reply within the Any reply received by the period of the period of the period in t	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. It is available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. It is pecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period whe set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) This action		action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claim	S					
4a) Of the al 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.  bove claim(s) is/are withdrav is/are allowed.  is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers						
10) The drawing  Applicant ma  Replacement	ation is objected to by the Examine (s) filed on <u>29 June 1999</u> is/are: a) y not request that any objection to the attraction to the attraction sheet (s) including the correct	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or	declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119					
a) All b) 1. Certif 2. Certif 3. Copie applic	ment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ied copies of the priority documents ied copies of the priority documents is of the certified copies of the priority documents at the copies of the copies of the priority documents at the copies of the copies	s have been received. s have been received in Applicatity documents have been received in Received.	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachment/e\						
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References	s Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperso	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 09/342,768

Art Unit: 2685

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (US Patent 4,249,181) in view of Blasing et al. (U.S. Patent 6,052,582).

Regarding claim 1, Lee discloses a system "having comprising an antenna for transmitting a signal of reused frequency within a specified range from the antenna, the antenna having multiple radiating antenna elements provided with the signal, the signal provided to each of the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and in amplitude across the radiating elements to mitigate radiation above horizon, and the signal provided to each of the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and in amplitude to decrease attenuation in radiated power with distance from the antenna" (Figs. 2-3 & 6, and col. 4/line 44 to col. 5/line 42 for a technique of tilting the antenna and antenna phased arrays are adjusted in amplitude and phase as gain can be increased or decreased to mitigate radiation above the horizon). However, Lee does not disclose that this

technique is for use in a LMDS system; however, Lee suggests that to use in point-to-point radio transmission system (col. 1/lines 23-63). In addition, Blasing teaches a LMDS system having similar technique in providing antenna transmission patterns (see Fig. 19, col. 13/line 64 to col. 14/line 7 for LMDS; col. 5/lines 3-35 for the advantage of re-use of frequency within the Blasing's system as further noted in col. 16/line 62 to col./ 17/line 32 for LMDS; and Fig. 46 /items 376 & 396 for variable attenuators and items 378, 382, 398, 404 for phase shifters for amplitude and phase adjusting of radiating antenna elements; Figs. 2, 4, 5, 43B and col. 8/lines 33-56 for mitigating radiation above horizon of the signal provided to each of the radiating elements of the antenna; and col. 22/lines 20-53 for sidelobe levels referred to attenuation in radiated power with distance away from the antenna as some excess power is unnecessary). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lee's antenna tilting technique in applying to Blasing's LMDS system for achieving the LMDS system with the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and amplitude to decrease the attenuation in radiated power with distance from the antenna as desired.

As for claim 2, in further view of claim 1 above, Blasing further suggests the step of "each of the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and amplitude of signal across the antenna elements to mitigate nulls between lobes of combined radiated signals collectively from the antenna elements", i.e., the maximum and minimum power level is maintained by implementing the low sidelobe levels in adjacent sectors to avoid unwanted signals for the shaped beam antenna (see col. 22/lines 20-53).

With respect to claim 3, in further view of claim 1 above, Blasing further discloses "each of the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and in amplitude of signal across the antenna

Application/Control Number: 09/342,768

Art Unit: 2685

elements to reduce excess signal power at near range", i.e., an excess power output is reduced at near range or at adjacent sectors by eliminating unwanted energy from using low sidelobe antennas (see col. 22/lines 20-53 as sidelobe is maintained for mitigating interference at a reduced level from 3dB reduced to 1 dB).

Page 4

As for claims 4-6, a corresponding method for use in the disclosed system is rejected for the reasons given in the scope of the system claims 1-3 as already disclosed above.

### Conclusion

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Thuan Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7895. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TONYT. NGUYEN

Tony T. Nguyen Art Unit 2685 August 1, 2005